# CANDOUR *The British Views-Letter*

*To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance*

Volume XLVI Numbers 3 & 4 March-April 1994


# MALCOLM ROSS:
## SOME RIGHT HAS BEEN DONE

# oss wins ourt fight

## *'e got my rights restored*

By MARC BELLIVEAU

*Telegraph Journal*

)NCTON – The New Brunswick Court of Appeal has r
Malcolm Ross has the right to teach.
a 2-1 ruling released Monday, the New Brunswick Cou
eal overturned a human rights adjudicator's order
; be barred from his Moncton junior high school classr
avid Attis, the Jewish father who brought a compla
1 against the Moncton teacher said in he's disapp
1 the decision.
loss, on the other hand, was delighted.
'I'm very pleased with the decision," Ross said in a
w Monday night. "There's no action against me to
ıching. . . I've got my rights restored."
Ross said the important thing was that the ruling qu
ovision of the Human Rights Act that prevents Huma
)mmission rulings from being appealed.
"Maybe it'll do something to lessen the power of th
ights Commission to harass people," he said. "Th
ights Commission has been very quick to persecute
isagree with."
Ross said he doesn't know when he'll be back in
oom. He also said he hasn't thought about whether
province for damages.
A wave of public debate and controversy ster
Ross's classroom expulsion in September 1991. Ro
ten numerous books stating there's a Jewish-led c
undermine Christianity, and that accounts of the H
exaggerated.
The human rights inquiry, chaired by law pro
Bruce of the University of New Brunswick, inv
complaint against the school board. Bruce fou
guilty of fostering a harmful environment by emp
a teacher.
David Attis, had argued tha

The Globe and Mail, Tuesday, December

# N.B.'s top cour allows teacher back in classroo

## No evidence anti-Semitic views voiced at school, judges say

The Globe and Mail

The New Brunswick Court of Appeal has set aside an order that banned a teacher from the classroom because of his anti-Semitic writings.

In a decision released yesterday, Chief Justice W. L. Hoyt wrote that there was no evidence that teacher Malcolm Ross voiced his views in the classroom or used his position to expound his opinions.

A human-rights tribunal had ordered Mr. Ross removed from his teaching duties and ruled that if he was given a non-teaching job by his school board, he should be prohibited from expressing anti-Jewish sentiments.

The appeal court, in a 2-1 ruling, said the evidence left "little doubt that Mr. Ross sincerely" held his anti-Semitic views as part of his belief "that western Christian civilization is being undermined and destroyed by an international Jewish conspiracy."

Chief Justice Hoyt said Mr. Ross's constitutional rights under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, guaranteeing him freedom of conscience, religion and expression, were violated by the ruling of the human-rights hearing.



The court ruled Malcolm Ross' Charter rights had been violate

role as a teacher, there wa
"pressing and substantial" r
to stop him teaching or expre
his views outside the classroo

Mr. Ross said he was deli
by the decision. "It means I
the right to go back to teach
he said.

He has been employed by

# THE RIGHT DECISION

WE congratulate the justices of the Court of Appeal of New Brunswick, in particular Chief Justice W L Hoyt and Justice J C Angers, for their decision of December 20, 1993, in the case of Malcolm Ross. Conscientious and honest judges are unsung heroes: their job requires not only intellectual honesty, but also moral fortitude to be able to make a judgement without fear of those who exercise political power or those who manipulate the media to brainwash the masses.

Malcolm Ross taught mathematics and English for many years. He never propagated his political, religious and historical views in class. Yet just over two years ago, the New Brunswick "Human Rights Commission" ordered him out of the classroom on the grounds that his views, though expressed only in his private life, made him unfit to teach. That decision was upheld in court, but now the Court of Appeal has found that the order violated Charter guarantees of freedom of expression. It has ruled that Mr Ross has the right to return to his teaching job and be free to write or publish his books. Right has been done - or at least some, because the latest we have heard is that the so-called Human Rights Commission has decided to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada.

### Relentless Defamation and Mass Hysteria

Malcolm Ross was condemned by the premier of New Brunswick and made the victim of relentless defamation by much of the media. Mass hysteria was whipped up against him - and he was then condemned as the cause of that mass hysteria! A very neat trick. While his books have been practically banned, and few have read them, almost everyone has been conditioned to believe that he is a "hate-monger". This is not unlike the "terrorist" epiphet given to Yasser Arafat until being manoeuvred into shaking hands with the Israelis in Washington transformed him into a "statesman"! According to press clippings sent to us from Canada, prominent in the campaign against Mr Ross have been organisations like the Canadian Jewish Congress and B'nai B'rith. Now that the Court of Appeal decision is not to their liking, they have attacked it for lending an indirect *hechsher* - an endorsement - to his views. They have also given a quick and forceful voice to calls for an appeal to the Supreme Court.

Mr Ross has every right to write books in his spare time. If his adversaries and critics think their contents are wrong, such as when he questions the figure of six million Jews done to death by the Germans during the Second World War, they only have to deal with him at an academic level and provide some concrete proof that he is mistaken. They do not do so, preferring instead to try by defamation and denounciation to try to destroy his reputation for integrity and thus deprive him of his professional livelihood.

As far as we can discern, Malcolm Ross is a much better role model for his students than his venomous and hysterical critics. He sets a good example by telling the truth as he sees it. As his friend and fellow-campaigner, Matin Yaqzin, put it in a letter to the New Brunswick *Telegraph-Journal*, "He is certainly far superior to the teachers who are homosexuals and are despised by many of the parents, and those who are responsible for the kind of sex mis-education that leads to the need for the distribution of condoms in schools and to promiscuity."

# News flash! Daily press discovering free speech

**DOUG COLLINS takes a swipe at the press and pressure groups in Canada for using so-called "hate" laws to smother opinions they do not like.**

AMAZING! The Vancouver dailies have discovered political correctness and freedom of speech. Sort of. I know this because in December the *Sun* deplored the gag on the Teale manslaughter trial in Ontario. "What's next?" it asked. "Will Canada Post start censoring Christmas mail?" Don't these dopes know that Customs and Excise already censors Christmas mail if it happens to be a gift in the form of Professor Arthur Butz's *Hoax of the Twentieth Century* or some other politically incorrect work? The *Province* has waxed even bolder. It has denounced political correctness in the universities and has defended "controversial research". Will the dailies now discover that people have been hounded for having the wrong opinions ever since the hate laws were passed in 1971, especially if those opinions annoy the Canadian Jewish Congress?

The truth is that the *Sun* and *Province* were early worshippers at the altar of political correctness. Didn't they back the prosecutions against Keegstra and Zundel? And didn't they grovel to the pressure groups when Zundel was charged under the "spreading false news" law for saying that something didn't happen, namely the six million matter? Spreading false news? Santa Claus and the weathermen spread false news. Anyone who reports what the politicians say is probably spreading false news, too.

### Clapped In Irons

Our daily duo went into ecstasies when David Irving, the British author and historian, was put in handcuffs and clapped into jail pending his expulsion from this country for having the wrong opinions. The *Province* editorial headline was "Shutting out hatred". And we got an amazing bit of false news from that newspaper in the claim that "no country encourages free speech more than Canada." The fatuous piece continued: "In Canada you climb on your soapbox and say whatever you want." So you can - if you are prepared to risk a spot in jail. Or being fired from your job. Or fined under British Columbia's Bill 33.

"Good riddance," Mr Irving, was the *Province*'s punchline. The *Sun* was even more keen. "Who's on watch?" it asked editorially in saying that Irving was a criminal. Hadn't he been fined under German hate laws for "insulting the memory of the dead" in alleging that the six million story was untrue? The *Sun* much approved of the Ottawa Thought Police order barring Irving from entering Canada. But if his stuff is so ridiculous, why should he be barred? Do we bar flat-earthers? That "Who's on watch" headline deserves an answer. Which is: Not the Vancouver *Sun*. Nor the *Province*. Nor any of our daring mainstream media commentators. If they were on watch on some of the more touchy questions they wouldn't last long.

As in the west, so in the east. In New Brunswick Malcolm Ross was bundled out of the classroom when the Atlantic Jewish Council turned on the heat. He had never aired his views in class. They just didn't like his privately published books. New Brunswick's lickspittle

attorney general added his voice to the chorus. "There is no place in our society for dangerous thoughts," he said. Great stuff, eh? But just before Christmas the New Brunswick Court of Appeal took a different view. It said that a human rights inquisition had denied Ross' human rights. (So what else is new?) Five minutes later, Irving Abella of the Canadian Jewish Congress popped up to say he was "dumbfounded". He wants Ross kept out of the classroom anyway and has asked New Brunswick to take the case to the Supreme Court of Canada. Predictably, in a piece that read like a CJC handout, the Vancouver *Sun* stepped up to say that the judges were wrong and that Ross was indeed a purveyor of dangerous thoughts.

In Toronto, another teacher, Paul Fromm, was moved after an agitation action was started by one Bernie Farber, another CJC character. Fromm's offence was to ask a facetious question about Indians and scalping at some gathering of earnest left-wing souls. He is also against immigration, which makes him a "racist". When Professor Phillipe Rushton of Ontario's Western University did some politically incorrect racial research, the usual censors demanded that he be fired, a wish echoed by the then Premier Peterson. He wasn't, but Third World thugs and white lunatics forced him to do his lectures on closed circuit TV.

The *Province* now says we shouldn't be like some of those bad Americans and call people Nazis when we want to shut them up. "Let's not have it happen here," it declares. It should know, but apparently doesn't, that it's been happening here for a long time.

---

# COLIN JORDAN:
## SUM TRIBUTE HAS BEEN PAID

IN the outcome of a police raid in June 1991 on his home near Pateley Bridge - at the complaint of Gerald Kaufman MP who alleged that prohibited racial literature was being stored there for distribution - Colin Jordan has been given £10,000 damages and £4,000 costs by solicitors acting for the Chief Constable of North Yorkshire. The raid was carried out on an outdated warrant which Mr Jordan challenged from the start. Nevertheless, the Attorney General subsequently gave his requisite consent to a prosecution against Mr Jordan solely on the basis of literature obtained through the raid.

Mr Jordan, conducting his own case, took the matter to the High Court in London, successfully applying for permission for a Judicial Review, and was granted an injunction there, halting the prosecution against him, pending the Review. On the eve of that Review the Chief Constable, through his legal representative, conceded that the raid and the resulting seizure of property had been unlawful, that all of the seized property had to be returned, that the prosecution had to be terminated, and that no further prosecution should be brought by means of the same material.

The High Court, taking notice of this, awarded Mr Jordan damages and costs in principle, the amount to be determined by the Harrogate Registry. Protracted preliminary proceedings ensued for over a year, but before the trial of the issue of damages at Leeds High Court took place solicitors for the Chief Constable reached an out-of-court settlement under which payment has just been paid to Colin Jordan.

# A LUTA CONTINUA

**FR ARTHUR LEWIS reflects on the forces behind the revolutionary changes besetting South Africa**

**"The struggle continues," as the communists say.**

**Back in the days of the Cold War there was a courageous Hungarian Cardinal, Joseph Mindszenty, whose overriding passion was the care of his people. He did not, however, hesitate to speak out on political issues. "Politics," he said of revolutionary Eastern Europe, "can overturn the altar and imperil immortal souls."**

This is what is happening in South Africa today. The Archbishop of Cape Town has said "Mandela is my leader" (Mandela once wrote "How to be a Good Communist") and has come out openly in favour of a secular, non-Christian state. This in spite of the fact that 78% of the population profess Christianity.

Communism is officially dead. All the changes in South Africa are being made in the name of "democracy." Yet it is the South African Communist Party (representing 0.15 of the people) which played an overwhelming rôle in the Johannesburg talks leading to the formation of the present transitional government. Its officers dominate the National Executive Council of the African National Congress. The results: murder, mayhem and misery on an unprecedented scale.

So the struggle for Christian civilisation continues. The malign influence of the Soviets has dwindled, but the power of the international financiers, who have long bankrolled communism, is undiminished. They have achieved as spectacular a *coup* as any Marxist takeover of the past.

Not the craziest one-worlder has ever proposed a one-man-one-vote election for the whole of Europe. **Yet what is being forced on South Africa is a precise parallel - with the expenditure of vast sums of foreign money to ensure the triumph of Mandela.**

There are some ten separate nations in South Africa, each with its own language, history and culture. Since 1910 the British and then the Afrikaners have ruled the lot, with scant pretensions to democracy. The plan of the internationalists now is to replace the British and Afrikaners by Nelson Mandela and the African National Congress. The new leaders are likely, with the help of the International Monetary Fund, to complete the subjugation of the peoples of South Africa and to facilitate the extraction of the region's huge mineral wealth in a way very satisfactory to the super-rich who pull the strings. (As to what happens to the ordinary folk, well, there are plenty of precedents in the rest of Africa.)

The predominantly Christian Zulus, the largest of the African peoples, are determined to preserve their identity and not to be subjected to a Marxist-style tyranny worse than apartheid. So are the Tswanas of Bophuthatswana. Both are the victims of massive "ethnic cleansing" by the Xhosa- dominated ANC. The conservative whites no longer wish to rule the blacks, but are ready to make huge sacrifices to obtain an area where they can rule themselves. **Both blacks and whites have every right to resist, for what they are up againt is outright domination by Western big money in its own interests.** South African communism is its tool, not its foe.

The much-vaunted "democratic process" is a myth. **In terms of the new constitution Members of Parliament will represent the Party, not their constituents.** Should an MP step out of line he will be required to resign and will be replaced by the next person on the party list! Indeed, if intimidation, propaganda and outside funding can win it a 67% majority in the April "non-racial" elections, the ANC will be free to re-write even the present constitution.

Events are moving so fast that it is impossible to know what will have happened by the time this letter is in your hands. Nor can most of us judge to what extent effective resistance to the financial overlords is feasible. They have awesome power, and control the world's news media. However, determined opposition by the Freedom Alliance (consisting of conservative blacks and whites) has already won real concessions - to the extent that there are those who believe the initiative is now in the hands of the Alliance. It remains for Christians everywhere to pray fervently that resistance to this last betrayal in Southern Africa may lead, if not to a formal Federation, at least to some tolerable practical compromise which will avert the spilling of yet more blood.

**Read SPEARHEAD**

***Edited by JOHN TYNDALL & published in support of the BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY***

**Sample copy £1 from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW**

# U.S. Subversion in South Africa

**ANOTHER interesting viewpoint on the forces at work causing confusion and chaos in South Africa was provided in a recent issue of *The Insider* [available from PO Box 17200, Groenkloof, Pretoria 0027, RSA]. Like Fr Lewis, the writer also sought to answer the question: "*Who* is behind it all?"**

THE Communist take-over of South Africa was organised by the United States and was launched by US President Jimmy Carter. The plan continued during the whole of the Reagan presidency and came to full maturity when the US State Department under George Bush appointed William Lacy Swing in 1989 as the US Ambassador in South Africa. According to reports in the German magazine *Code*, whose American correspondent allegedly received his information from a former member of the South African Secret Service, William Swing's main task was to unite the African National Congress and the Council of South African Trade Unions under the flag and the leadership of the SA Communist Party.

Besides this, President Bush deployed a group of 58 CIA agents, all of them specialists in the fields of communications and computer technology, into the fortress of the US Embassy in Pretoria. Their main task is to monitor and record all telephone conversations and fax messages of South African individuals (including members of the Government) who oppose the take-over of the Government by the SACP-dominated African National Congress.

### C.I.A.-Inspired De-Stabilisation?

Ambassador Swing's task also included the plan to make South Africa ungovernable through a wave of violence and crime so that the citizens of the country would finally accept any form of dictatorship that promised a return to law and order. The plan included the release of thousands of condemned criminals, including murderers under sentence of death, from the country's gaols. At a meeting held on July 9, 1992, Swing and his CIA agents instructed the SACP hierarchy to demand the immediate release of "all political prisoners". Under pressure from the American Government, President De Klerk also gave in to this demand.

Since then, the once peaceful and beautiful South African state has become the most violent country on Earth. Under the presidency of George Bush a telecommunications satellite was built, launched into space and positioned directly above Pretoria. This satellite, costing billions of dollars of American taxpayers' money, has the job of eavesdropping on and monitoring telephone conversations of certain South African citizens, all members of the Government and other important persons in the whole of southern Africa. Most of these target people have been identified by CIA spies and informers, and include members of the Inkatha Freedom Party and the government and military of Bophuthatswana and Ciskei.

This information is analysed and evaluated in the huge American Embassy in Pretoria which boasts underground installations that are even safeguarded against chemical and nuclear attacks. Members of the ANC and the Communist Party enjoy unhindered access to the telecommunications installation, to the computer network and to the data banks of the US Embassy. Members of the anti-Communist Inkatha Freedom Party, on the other hand, may enter the premises only with special permission.

# PLOTTING THE NEW WORLD ORDER

In this article FR. CORNELIS BYMAN describes the steps leading to the "New World Order" now so openly talked and written about. He traces it from the French Revolution to the present day. Many may not agree fully with what he writes, but Fr. Byman's thumb-nail sketch of the conspiracy to establish a one-world government nevertheless should provide the most sceptical reader with some food for thought.

Finally we have it.. After decades of patient planning and endless discussions, made in the deepest secret, it has come finally forward under the name ''New World Order'', but it means something quite different; it means to say ''World Government''. Formed by a mysterious group of international bankers and industrialists, called ''the Insiders'', who consider themselves as the indisputable leaders of the world in every possible field — political, economical and religious — which has been observed for a long time from behind the scenes through the anti-democratic policies pursued by democratic governments. It promises happiness and a lasting peace for always, freedom and prosperity and a carefree future for every one. It has for a symbol the rainbow, the symbol of peace that appeared in the sky after the deluge and has now become the emblem of the New World Order.

Who came first to the luminous idea of a world government that would rule over all nations with for a vision of a kind of earthly paradise? I fear to give you a shock when telling that it is Freemasonry that for two hundred years has been behind this chimera with the goal to brush aside every national government — if necessary by force — and to enslave all men of the whole world. The principal obstacle to this aim was those countries governed by monarchies. Which king or dictator would ever allow a takeover of his government by some crazy adventurers? Formerly, they had to be eliminated. Most of them were moreover Catholic; which was another reason to get rid of them.

From there it came about that the French masonry caused the rabble of Paris to revolt against their King, Louis XVI. Too weak to resist, he let them take him prisoner and the royal family died on the scaffold. With other Catholic monarchies it was not as easy, but by introducing democracy into the world, they sapped the authority of the princedom. This became the way to make their thrones wobble and so it came that the Kings of Spain and Italy were driven away.

There were two powerful empires that were less open for these tactics of subversion, namely Austria and Russia. But Marxism had found its way in Northern Europe and from France and Germany it had come into Russia. In this country its principal champion was Lenin, a former seminarian who became a Judas and a follower of Marx. To avoid deportation to Siberia he had to flee the country. In him the enemies of monarchism discovered a first-rate ally. With their help he could return to his country in the deepest secret, alone in a sealed railroad carriage to where he was expected to take the lead in the revolution. Money and weapons followed in profusion from the USA which enabled him to defeat the armies of the Czar.

The tragedy of the royal family of King Louis XVI of France in 1793 repeated itself in 1918 in Russia; after a grievous and debasing captivity the entire family of Czar Nicolas II was murdered at the order of Lenin, but not after having made an agreement with the highest politicians of the USA. The chief role in this tragedy was played by the Federal Reserve which had provided the means without which the revolution could never had triumphed.

The First World War (1914-1918) was coming to an end. Now it was Austria's turn to be destroyed as an empire. The young

emperor Charles von Habsburg, a noble and pious monarch, had done all he could to finish the war. Pushed by the same enemies who had brought Russia under the yoke of the satanic Communism, the Allies banished him with his family to an island with an insalubrious climate which lead to his sickness and death and his empire was divided in different republics, without any plebiscite. The cause for the beatification of this saintly emperor has long since been started.

The League of Nations, founded after the first World War, became a first project of the long-dreamed-of One World Government but the time was not yet ripe for it. It was unable to impose its whims upon any head of state. Therefore their governments needed first to be weakened. This became a possibility after the Second World War. How could it come so far that today all countries from the richest to the poorest of the Third World are up to their eyes in debt with very few exceptions?

It was in the year 1946 that United Nations came into being to take the place of the League of Nations as a new screen behind which the self-made masters of the world are hiding an oligarchy of high financiers who have in their hands the strings of the world-policy and work to have in their grip the governments of all countries and the whole world population.

The task of policing the world seemed at first to be a guarantee for a lasting peace and this began with some random tests on less important countries and appeared soon to be one-sided. Katanga in Central Africa was made to be the first victim. Shortly afterwards, the former Congo, once a rich and prosperous colony of Belgium, had become independent, and was called Zaire. One of its provinces, Katanga, seceded to get away from the chaos and the regime of terror, torture and murder under its first president Lumumba. It became an island of peace and prosperity. Its president was Moise Tsombe, a devout Christian and a brilliant young statesman. His only sin was that he was not a communist, and this became the reason why the United Nations would force him to return the province to Zaire. In 1960 the barbarous U.N. troops came to enforce their claim, acting in the same manner as the murderous soldiers of Lumumba, destroying churches, schools and hospitals. Tsombe fled to Europe but his plane was intercepted and forced to land in Algeria where he was kidnapped, put in jail and then murdered. What did the U.N. ever do against the many communist leaders who murdered, raped and robbed the populations of whole countries? Zaire itself has become one of the poorest countries of Africa with a president who is one of the richest men of the world, MobuUtu,who indulges in the most crying excesses of luxury.

Now that most of the countries of the world are democratic — at least in appearance — the world seem to have become ripe for a world government and nothing seems to be able to set the clock back. What kind of government will this be? Atheistic, anti-religious, tyrannic and inhuman! The so-much-praised 'Rights of Man' are only a mirage. They are an invention made by Freemasonry during the French revolution in 1789, with a view to replace the belief in God with the belief in Man. Today, all constitutions inspired by principles that proceed from our Christian civilization have become to be regarded as backdated. Man needs to be freed.

The wave of bad laws, imposed since World War II, are in opposition to these wise and Christian-inspired constitutions, and they have opened the gate to dispossession of property and all kinds of immorality. They have their source in the devilish prospect of a world government. All prisons are overpopulated, but in the name of freedom the most horrible crimes are often committed with impunity. Countless new laws have taken this country from one of liberty to a country where you are no longer free in your own house. It is this that the world govern-

ment has in view, a world depopulated by abortion, AIDs and drugs; the family oppressed and finally dissolved. They pretend that the earth is overpopulated while in this country alone a billion people could easily find an existance without shortage. Did God not order the first men to multiply and to fill the earth? The earth is far from being overpopulated; tens of billions could have a happy life if all would work and live as good Christians. In its insane belief in overpopulation the white race is suiciding itself. Some countries need every year more caskets than cradles. In Munich alone, a big city in Germany, 100 white women produce only 75 children.

The children that are born today are made systematically godless by means of a forced corrupt school-teaching system with a bestial television as a baby-sitter. It seems that in the future, instruction will be reserved to an elite and that all the rest will be enslaved and forced to work for them if they will survive. In his book **Unicorn in the Sanctuary**, (TAN Books) Randy England describes how something of this has already been put into practice at ''Kino Learning Centre'' of Tucson, a Catholic elementary and secondary School, directed by a Dominican nun, Sister Judith, who told him: ''A few traditional skills (like reading and writing) are required for graduation but for the most part students at Kino are free to learn only what they wish to learn, or to learn absolutely nothing.'' ''Children should have the freedom to learn nothing'', she told us.

When people would try to revolt against the World Government a total annihilation could be the reaction; or they could be cut off economically and blocked from the rest of the world to force them into subjection. Therefore, people have to be disarmed so far as is possible. But the two billion people of China, and the Moslem countries might give them a hard time!

Satanism will be one of the means to help the World Government in tyrannising those who will not give up their faith in God. They shall admit only one belief — a kind of pantheism with Man, the supreme product of Mother-Nature, as a new god. It is that that we may expect if God would not soon intervene. But the godless world needs a chastisement to bring it back to reason.

We can only pray and remain confident in Him Who is almighty and infinitely good and never abandons those who remain faithful.

*

# SMOKING AND FASCISM

"NICOLAS Walter states that the anti-smoking persecution cannot be fascist as it is conducted by non-fascist and anti-fascist states. It hardly behoves him to accuse Chris Tame of Forest of lack of logic. Children in some state schools have to report to the school on their parents' smoking habits. They are told by their teachers that their parents are committing suicide by smoking, then that their parents' smoking is killing *them*. Now we have the story of the budgie who allegedly died of passive smoking and smokers' children will doubtless accuse them of murdering the family pets. The fact that this nonsense was exposed by a professor of veterinary pathology in *The Times* will be ignored by the anti-smoking hysterics. Has Mr Walter not heard of the gentleman employed full-time to patrol the car park of Southampton General Hospital to catch patients or staff breaking out of the stalag for a quick puff?"

AVRIL MUNSON in *The Times Magazine*, February 26.

# THE NATURE-NURTURE CONTROVERSY

**DR CHARLES WEBER emphasises the key role of genetic factors in explaining differences in human mental abilities**

While walking through the third floor of Oliphant Hall a few days ago my attention was drawn to a picture of Adolf Hitler at the east end of the corridor. On closer inspection I came to realize that the exhibit featuring the stern portrait of the former Reichsfuehrer had to do with the old and very important question as to what extent mental abilities are genetically determined. After a reference to the question of "racial superiority" near Hitler's picture the exhibit went on to proclaim dogmatically: "It is now conceded that genetically, there is no difference between newborn children of any race." It then went on to experiments concerning the relation between protein deprivation and mental abilities in rodents.

While I can not claim the interpretation of psychometric data as my specialty, I have a keen interest in the subject since I have had to face practical implications of these data in the classroom teaching of an exacting subject for nearly three decades.

## Genetic factors

Let us make no mistake. The question of the extent to which genetic factors are involved in scholastic aptitudes and other mental abilities is one of the most important in education and also has far-reaching implications for many other aspects of our lives. If, for example, there are absolutely no genetically determined differences in abilities to earn one's living and manage money well, then, it is obvious that it should make no difference what people bring children into the world, and the steeply graduated income tax, which was demanded by Marx in his Communist Manifesto (1848) and which has a tendency to take economic resources from those of high abilities for the benefit of those of low abilities, will never produce a decline in the quality of our population, i.e., a dysgenic development.

Many of us in the teaching profession have some strong emotional prejudices on the question of genetic factors in mental abilities. We like to think that the intellectual environment we create for our students is all-important. Perhaps our low salaries (compared to those in other learned professions and business enterprises) also have a tendency to blind us to the rather well established fact that there is a strong correlation between economic status and that which is determined by intelligence tests.

However, if we take a dispassionate look at some of the mass of data that has been accumulated on the question of genetic factors in mental development we are bound to have grave doubts about the statement that "genetically, there is no difference between newborn children of any race."

## IQ boosting

The Harvard Education Review is certainly not read by a broad mass of people, but some years ago an article appeared in it which caused a furor reflected in editorial comments in periodicals read by millions and millions of citizens throughout the country. The article appeared in the Winter, 1969, issue of the Review and was modestly entitled, "How Much Can We Boost IQ and Scholastic Achievement?" The author was a professor at the University of California in Berkeley by the name of Arthur R. Jensen. Professor Jensen's article is 122 pages long and full of graphs, sophisticated mathematical representations and technical terms, all of which made it beyond the comprehension or at least patience of most of the millions of people who read the commentaries on the article. It is perhaps misleading to attempt to summarize Professor Jensen's data and conclusions in a few paragraphs. However, after reading and rereading the article I, for one, was left with few doubts about the importance of genetic factors in mental abilities.

Although Prof. Jensen readily concedes that extreme environmental and nutritional deprivations can cause at least temporary retardation of mental development, he nevertheless presents a mass of data that demonstrate strong genetic factors in mental development. He even estimates that heredity accounts for about 80 per cent of individual variations in IQ.

What basis does such an estimate have? Since the problem of genetic factors in mental abilities has long been recognized as having far-reaching educational, political, social and economic implications, this question has been examined on the basis of a great variety of evidence. There are, for example, instances of identical twins (whose genetic similarity is maximal) who have been reared in completely different environments. Many of these twins have been studied intensely (e.g., by Sir Cyril Burt) because of the valuable light they can throw on the question of genetic factors in mental and physical development. Studies of such identical twins subject to different environments have revealed great similarities in mental abilities in spite of the environmental differences to which the individuals had been subjected. Perhaps even more impressive is the fact that frequencies of IQ scores are almost exactly congruent with the Gaussian curve.

As to my own experiences, down through the years I have observed thousands of young adults in the process of learning German. I have the impression that inherited linguistic abilities played a far greater rôle in their progress than motivational factors and previous educational backgrounds. In the learning of a language inherited characteristics of the auditory organs, their neural connection with the brain, the organs of speech and above all those parts of the brain involved in the retention and reproduction of auditory impressions must play a decisive role. Perhaps there is an analogy in the mental abilities of other mammals. It is well known that parts of the brains of various mammals have considerable differences in proportional development. One distinctly identifiable part of the brain is involved in the olfactory sense. In the skunk, for example, whose olfactory sense is important in its struggle for food and reproduction, this part of the brain is especially large.

## Historical evidence

Professor Jensen's evidence is essentially non-historical. There is, however, a considerable body of

biographic and historical information pertinent to the question of genetic factors in mental abilities, both with regard to individuals and ethnic groups. The musical abilities of the extensive Bach family are well known and frequently cited in this connection. The participation in the development of complex civilizations has been qite varied from race to race. The historical evidence has been examined and summarized by Nathaniel Weyl and Stefan Possony in their Geography of Intellect (Chicago, 1963). Some races or nations have been outstanding in the numbers of distinguished thinkers and scientists originating from them in proportion to their numbers, while other races have produced virtually none. Must we jump to the conclusion that these differences are simply a result of immediate environmental and cultural factors? Is there not the possibility that migrations of races have involved selective factors?

Every age has its perversion of thinking, it would seem. In the seventeenth century many women were still being burned to death because they had been convicted of having supernatural powers supposedly derived from liaisons with the Devil. In our age a disregard for genetically transmitted characteristics is a popular fallacy because of political dogmas thought up by men who had little inclination toward rational scientific observation and who in some cases did not even stand on their own feet economically, such as Karl Marx.

In the U.S.S.R. this perversion of thinking even led to what has been termed Lysenkoism, after the political hack Trofim Lysenko, who played a disasterous role in Soviet agriculture by his attacks on scientific genetics. Lysenko wielded much power under Stalin, to whom his theories were politically attractive, but the realties of agricultural production finally brought about the discrediting of his theories, even in the Soviet socialist dictatorship. For a long time intelligence tests were shunned in the Soviet State because of their inconvenient political implications. In the last few years, however, Soviet education has been going in the opposite direction. Highly gifted students are being gleaned for education in special schools designed to create an intellectual elite. These ten-year schools emphasize such exacting subjects as certain foreign languages, mathematics and the physical sciences.

## Declining achievements

Meanwhile, scholastic achievements in American public schools continue to decline, perhaps because of the politically inspired egalitarianism which is so fashionable and which is based to a considerable extent on a deliberate disregard for genetically determined factors in mental abilities. This disturbing decline has been well documented in the large, recently published study by Frank E. Armbruster, The U.S. Primary and Secondary Educational Process.

Any cattleman who would disregard the genetic characteristics of his animals is courting economic disaster. Are we courting national disaster in a competitive world by wasting the talents and time of individuals with outstanding potentials in the morass of an educational system which is based on falsified observations and politically expedient prejudices?

---

# THE NAKED TRUTH ABOUT HOMOSEXUAL "EQUALITY"

## We're buggered if we'll vote for Edwina!

DON'T we live in strange times? For a party in government that purports to maintain "family values", the Conservatives' legislative record on a range of social issues takes some beating for hypocrisy and duplicity. Drunkenness? Let the pubs stay open longer! Juvenile delinquency? Send the yobs on holidays in the sun! Divorce? Have even quicker divorces to make it easier! Decline of religion? Let all the shops open on Sundays! AIDS? Reduce the age for buggery!

The last-mentioned Act must rank as one of the most despicable to emanate from Parliament. "Gay sex", as many of its advocates refer to it, is one of the most inappropriately used terms in this age of politically correct euphemism. What they really mean is anal sex or, to use a more direct term, buggery. It is a practice which is still illegal if practised by men on women, so what price "equal opportunities" on that score?

The killer disease AIDS simply loves buggers. When it comes to transferring the HIV virus, nothing (apart from a dud blood transfusion) works quite so well as anal intercourse, despite frantic propagandising by the "gay" lobby. Doctors know it. AIDS "workers" know it, although they scarcely admit it. Every MP who voted for reducing the age of homesexual "consent" knew it. So what will they all now say when teenage boys start dropping dead with AIDS as a consequence of their permissive legislation?

# BRITAIN FACES THE ABYSS

In this double-number of *Candour*, we re-print two articles written by A.K. Chesterton in 1954 and 1955. The first, "Britain Faces The Abyss", finds our founder giving the reader a more personal insight into his motivation as a life-long campaigner against the often undisclosed master-policies of internationalism. The second article, "Closing In For The Kill", shows how the current conspiracy to submerge our sovereignty in a Federal Europe, which in turn is a precursor for World Government, can be traced back over four decades to the founding of the European Movement.

WHAT is one to say of the man who has no romantic vision of his country's past, who feels no emotional involvement in its present, who has no aspiration for the splendour of its future? One thing is certain. He is either a prig who, disdaining his kinship, is fit only for the uprooted, traditionless, shiftless existence of Cosmopolis, or he is a materialistic clod who deserves no better than to be swallowed alive by Communism. Between Cosmopolis and Communism there will one day be no dividing line.

To test the truth of these things it is necessary to recall the spirit of times past, which in essence is to recapture something of the emotional climate of one's own youth. For those of us who were born around the turn of the century it cannot be a pleasurable experience. We can only reflect in amazement and perplexity upon the changes which the intervening years have brought, changes not only in national fortune but in the entire shape and colour and "feel" of life.

In the days of our nonage standardisation had not yet become a social and political ideal. It was still possible to think romantically of the peoples of the earth. I remember my early conception of the French as a comically gifted race whose particular and deeply impressive genius lay in their capacity to eat frogs. The supreme function of Germany was to fill our streets with the brave music of peripatetic brass bands. Italy's sumptuous contribution to a small boy's world was the organ-grinder and his monkey. My romantic vision glowed ever more brightly the further afield it wandered. In my mind's ear I could hear the throbbing drums of Africa speaking through the night. In my mind's eye I could see the Red Indian brave scanning the plains from his mountain fastness. I thought with fascination of Burma, of Siam, of Peru, of coral islands in the South Seas.

## RICH DIVERSITY

On growing older my admiration sought other objects, but the delicious wonder at the rich diversities of race did not cease to enthral me. The graciousness of France, the clarity and wit and flair for living of the French people; the incredible fecundity of the Italian spirit; indeed, the splendour and manifold distinctions of the European genius—one rejoiced in these things because one knew them to be a part of the common heritage of mankind.

Because we appreciated the achievements and familiar things of the men of other lands, the prouder we became of what our own kinsmen were and what they had achieved. Our Imperial destiny, as it seemed to us, was essentially a projection and intensification of this feeling of kinship.

In a sense which the Bloomsbury decadent who prates of "one world" will never understand, the Sikh was our brother. So were the Gurkha, the Zulu, the South Sea Islander.

Today the vision has faded. The poetry of peoples is heard no more. What chance, anyway, would it have against the universal saxophone? The explanation, I am sure, is not that we have grown older. Age tends rather to deepen than to destroy one's sense of mystery and enchantment. But for those of our generation who have lived through so much disillusionment the particular kind of ecstasy of which I have been writing is dead, and we shall never know its like again. Though we set foot in Burma or on some far-off coral strand we know that the first sight to greet our eyes may well be a derelict Japanese tank rusting among the foliage, and that our first welcome may well be from a truculent, cloth-capped proletariat shaking clenched fists in salute. The heartache nearer home will be even more poignant. Ill fares the mind as it roams across the Seine and the Loire, the Rhine and the Rhone and the Elbe, the Danube and the Volga. It finds the demoralised peoples everywhere in flight from their own national individuality and aspiration. Germany is as much a wilderness of the spirit as it was a wilderness of rubble. La belle France? The words are a mockery. It is against this background of ruin that the plight of Britain must be studied. Nobody could expect her to have preserved herself intact amidst what seems to be the crumbling of an entire civilisation.

## TURBULENT AGE

When I was an infant in arms, my country became engaged in activities by no means universally approved. There were many protests as she deployed her soldiers across the veldt to win political control of the Transvaal goldfields for the Rand millionaires, but none more vehement than those which appeared in a London newspaper above the hitherto unknown initials "G.K.C." On reaching an age to comprehend these matters I soon grasped the central truth of my great kinsman's teaching about the Boer War, even if I was later unable to agree with all its implications. It seemed to me that *Little England* could be built only on the corpses of forty million people starved to death. This difference in final attitude may be that, born twenty-five years later than Gilbert, and therefore more authentically the child of our turbulent and revolutionary age, I was taught a sharper lesson of the nature of power. My boyhood was foreshortened; all too brief were my brisk winter afternoons on the Rugby field, my tranquil summer evenings at the wicket or the nets. At sixteen I was a private soldier, fighting in the blistering wilderness of German East Africa. At nineteen I was the commander of a company flung against the Hindenburg Line. At twenty-two I led a bayonet charge against Red revolutionaries in the streets of Johannesburg. At forty-two I was in the vanguard of the British advance into Somaliland and Abyssinia. Is it any wonder that my mind should have been shaped less by the ideal than by the real, or that I should have been more impressed by the harshness of the laws governing national survival than was my great cousin, who lived bravely and constantly in the light of the ideal? Having observed the prodigious efforts of my fellow-countrymen in two great wars, having seen so many of the best of them die, and having given so many of my own years to battle, I make no apology for the emotion of anger when I think that all the untold sacrifice was used as the means of betraying the people who made it.

G. K. Chesterton saw clearly to what base purposes this not ignoble country was suborned in 1899, but he failed to see that by 1919 Cosmopolis had cashed-in even more thoroughly upon the million British slain of that war. By 1939 he was dead, and so spared the sight of the manhood of Britain again being forged into an instrument of steel for service in a war from which it had nothing to gain and everything to lose. And everything, or almost everything, it has duly lost. The triumphant victories of our fighting forces were really crushing defeats which, not without calculation—as Churchill's Atlantic meeting with Roosevelt showed—have brought us to the brink of impotence

and ruin upon which we now stand.

Great Britain is a small, over-industrialised, over-populated island of 50,000,000 souls. There is no people in the world so vulnerable to blockade, so dependent upon their own sources of raw materials overseas, so compelled by geographical and historical necessity to maintain power abroad. It was no accident that led the peoples of these islands to build up healthy, flourishing nations all over the world. Had there been no overflowing of our stocks into America, Australasia, Africa and elsewhere, and had others monopolised the development of Asia, on how vast a scale would life have been denied to our posterity! Were there not left to Britain some Imperial Preferences and a sterling area to preserve us against the stampedes of international finance, how inevitably would we already have become an economic slum appendage of Moscow or New York! Yet this vital sovereignty, which we have struggled so long and wearily to sustain, has been under constant attack from abroad, and has been surrendered, not only by the cowardly pack of Fabians, pacifists and professional revolutionaries who gained power in 1945, but no less, in principle, and perhaps even more, by those whose pretension it is to conserve our heritage.

The active agents of our decay were long ago indicated by G. K. Chesterton in *The Secret People*:

> We only know that the last sad squires ride slowly towards the sea,
> And a new people take the land, and still it is not we.
> They have given us into the hands of the new, unhappy lords,
> Lords without honour or anger, who dare not carry their swords.
> They fight by shuffling papers; they have dead black alien eyes,
> They look at our labour and laughter as a tired man looks at flies. . . .

These are the lords of Cosmopolis who have battened upon our victories and used them for our destruction. G.K.C. believed the process to have begun in the Napoleonic wars:

> Our patch of glory ended, we never heard guns again.
> But the Squire seemed stuck in the saddle; he was foolish, as if in pain.
> He leaned on a staggering lawyer, he clutched at a cringing Jew;
> He was stricken; it may be, after all, he was stricken at Waterloo.

## IN THE GRIP OF USURY

However that may be, there is no doubt about what happened after the late Lord Reading, in 1917, borrowed from his co-racials in Wall Street £1,000,000,000 which he promised that Britain would repay on demand and in gold —which she did not happen to possess. Britain, having outlived her usefulness to the great financial interests, was now ripe for liquidation. She was forced to drop the Anglo-Japanese Alliance that had kept the peace in the Far East. She was compelled to relinquish the command of the seas upon which her life depended. She received orders from New York, in the great depression, to make the financial cuts which led to the naval mutiny at Invergordon. And when, in desperation, she tried at Ottawa to insulate herself against the brigandage and chaos of world finance, she created a conflict that has lasted to the present day, with a progressive yielding of her self-sufficiency as a result of American pressure exerted at Bretton Woods, Havana, Geneva and Torquay.

Since the last war, indeed, Britain has been fooled and tormented beyond endurance by all the international agencies brought into being by Wall Street to secure the economic and political domination of the world. Although she was the second largest contributor to U.N.R.R.A., those funds—as her leaders must have known—were used both to defeat her policy by financing the mass migration to Palestine from all over Europe, including Russia, and to bolster the economies of Eastern Europe, as part of the Communist conspiracy hatched at Teheran, Yalta and Potsdam between Stalin and Roosevelt's sinister entourage. Since then she has been Marshall-aided and Defence-aided into almost complete dependence upon the whim of American finance-capitalism, and regimented under the Atlantic Pact until there is no tactical or strategical move she may make without permission from her master. The reader cannot but grant that the tremendous efforts for survival made by the British people have been but shabbily rewarded by false allies and deplorable leadership.

On her home front the lords of Cosmopolis have been no less busy. Their competitors, the honest-to-goodness British industrialists, whose goods were once renowned for their quality throughout the world, have been, and are being, dipossessed either by nationalisation or by a system of calculated discrimination in the allotment of raw materials and general priorities. Concurrently, because of all-powerful official patronage, there is being created a largely alien plutocracy which, unless trends are reversed, must soon establish a complete masterdom in every department of the national life. Indeed, there beset us even worse things—international patronage and centralisation of power.

It is not surprising, under this alien dispensation, that the traditional British values should be systematically derided and eroded. Our craftsmanship is still second to none, but because of those who exploit it there can no longer always be placed dependence upon the quality of the goods exported in our name.

## HOPE REMAINS

Everything that can serve to discourage the great virtues is sure of a welcome in our fallen times. Everything that can be said to discredit nationhood—our own and everybody else's—is heard constantly on the lips of our pundits and blared forth by the B.B.C. There is no half-baked international concept that is not employed to soften us up, that we may become the crushed and servile inmates of the *International Welfare State*—which is how "the new unhappy lords" are trying to disguise their cosmopolitan Empire. If that Empire is allowed to consolidate its position, there will be no place in it for the glories of European civilisation. And it is no consolation that Britain does not face the abyss alone.

This may seem a very gloomy picture which I have painted. I believe, nevertheless, that it is in all essentials true. Yet the gloom is not unrelieved. We are still a virile people—as good a people, I like to think, as will be found anywhere on earth. Given leadership with half an ounce of moral courage, we can still prevail over the Levantine influences which debase our values and choke to death our distinctive nationhood. Those of us who have struggled, in peace and war, through so many years on behalf of our national survival, can at least continue the struggle as long as our strength endures.

It should not be assumed that we fight a losing battle. By no means. We cannot re-create the past, nor would it be desirable if we could, but at least we can rescue from oblivion the tried and tested virtues of the past, the values which enabled our forefathers to defend for a thousand years our island independence and to carry our law and our language to the ends of the earth. Thus spiritually armed we can face with confident eyes the task of insulating ourselves from the progressive decay and anarchy of Cosmopolis, and in so doing perhaps again restore stability and sanity and rich diversity to the races of mankind. But we cannot fight decay by merging with it, any more than we can resist the onrush of materialism by deriding or neglecting the sweep and surge and splendour of patriotism. If we cannot be true to our own kin, the sharers of our heritage, to whom can we be true? If we cannot give a thought to our own posterity, that they may inherit the best that the past can bestow, of what use will be our thoughts for the posterity of others? If we cannot be loyal to each other, of what worth is our loyalty? If our own Queen cannot depend upon us, our allegiance to any cause will be but a brittle thing and we but poor creatures.

Our chief enemy is fear. It is an enemy which Britons hitherto have been wont to overcome.

***Candour needs more subscribers! Have YOU secured one yet?***

# CLOSING IN FOR THE KILL

EVERYWHERE the enemies of British nationhood are closing in for the final assault. The situation which faces us is of the utmost gravity, pregnant with disaster such as the peoples of Britain have not known since their history began. That this is no exaggerated view the heralds of internationalism themselves proclaim, not only in the assurance with which they assert the imminence of their victory, but implicitly in their refusal to make any further concealment of their purpose. The turning point, it transpires, was the docility of the British public when Eden made his infamous offer to throw our crack troops into the internationalist stews. Before that time there had always been a fear that any overt move towards European federation would outrage British feelings, which may have been why the Foreign Secretary two or three years ago, speaking of this country's participation in European Union, said: "This is something we feel in our bones we cannot do." What he meant may well have been that he felt in his own bones—or, more probably, that he felt in Churchill's bones—that it was something which would lose the Government the support of an outraged nation. There now seems to have been a recognition that the feeling was quite mistaken: the prophets, priests and presidents of internationalism had underestimated the malign success of their propaganda during the last three decades. They failed to understand how doped and bedazed the British people had become beneath the mind-destroying impact of sloganized politics. When they hailed Eden as a genius of diplomacy for placing the best elements of our army and air force under cosmopolitan control, there dawned upon Churchill the glorious knowledge that none of the imagined impediments to the realisation of his cherished internalist dreams existed; indeed, that so far from losing votes by squandering our British heritage, a generation of fools and masochists could be relied upon to rally to that cause with all the fervour of its perverted soul. Let not the reader suppose that I am inventing this attitude for our opponents: they no longer fear to avow it.

## NEW COMMAND POST

There has now been revived upon an all-party basis the United Kingdom Council of the European Movement, with a new executive committee on which Layton rubs shoulders with Robens, Hynd with Hore-Belisha, MacKay with Robert Boothby, Clement Davies with Christopher Hollis,, and on which many other glittering-eyed federalists hold hands not only with each other but—more strangely—with men like Leopold and Julian Amery, one-time Imperialists whom they have persuaded to climb upon the federalist band-waggon. The Council has been given a royal send-off by the "President of Honour" of the European Movement, who is none other than the man who declined to become the Prime Minister to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire. *European Review*, in making its last bow to the public, explains the reason for its non-continuation in a passage as candid as it is significant. After describing the function of the new Council as "an all-party organisation to conduct an active campaign to explain the decisions of the Nine Power Conference and to popularise the European aspects of British foreign policy"—there is still some mincing hypocrisy in that particular choice of words—it writes with much more boldness:

> The United Europe Movement greatly regrets, but makes no apology for, the decision to bring to an end the publication of the *European Review*, in order to release funds for the support of the activities of the reconstituted United Kingdom Council of the European Movement, which are felt to be of paramount importance at the present time. . . . The extent to which British public opinion was ready for the abandonment of its isolationism, and the commitment of its armed forces to the defence of Europe, as soon as the rejection of the E.D.C. by the French Assembly made a new policy possible, came as a surprise to the world. . . . There is no longer need for a journal to express this viewpoint, since it is now the official policy of every government in the Atlantic world, as well as throughout the British Commonwealth. In these circumstances, it has been felt that what is now needed is organised publicity throughout Great Britain to inform the general public about the policy which has already been adopted, rather than to continue the effort to define the policy itself.

## FINAL DECISION

There is nothing equivocal about that, or at any rate not much. The decision has been taken: all that remains is to carry it out, and in carrying it out to widen the basis of Britain's association, so that the functional is merged with the political and administrative until at last our country ceases to have any existence except as a province of Federal Europe — the proposed abomination which I have ventured to christen (if christen be the word) Spaakistan. If there be anybody who denies that this is indeed the final objective of the squanderers of our sovereignty who grace the Council's executive committee, I ask him in Heaven's name to consult the speeches of the Laytons, the Boothbys, the MacKays and the rest of the sorry crew. If this be too much trouble, he need do no more than brood upon the words uttered two or three years ago by the "President of Honour", the great Sir Winston, whom his purblind worshippers throughout the country still believe, against every fact, to be a great Imperialist. Here they are:

> "Unless some effective world super-government can be set up and brought quickly into action, the prospects for peace and human progress are dark and doubtful. Without a united Europe there is no sure prospect of a world government."

That is the grand design which the new Council is to present to the British people as a vision of delight. It still carefully conceals from them pre-knowledge of the beatific time when Frenchmen and Germans and Italians and Dutchmen and Belgians have as much right to the usufruct of Britain as have English, Scots, Welsh and Northern Irish, perhaps arriving here in scores of thousands, and when a Communist-inspired dock-strike at Marseilles or Antwerp or Brindisi brings out in sympathy the dockers of Southampton, Port of London, Merseyside and the Clyde. Nor is mention made of the havoc which will be caused to Britain's traditional stability by sharing a Government with the French *demos*, whose Red agitators without let or hindrance would have the run of our land, and by our having to endure without insulation the appalling shocks and crises of Franco-German relations and all the other distempers of political life on the Continent. Instead of settling any problem, the union which our internationalist zealots are determined to create will intensify all problems and condemn us to an existence which can be no other than one long hell of internal strife and ever-present threat of dissolution. These are the facts, but in what British newspaper or broadcast have they ever been mentioned, let alone honestly discussed?

## MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE

Particularly heart-rending is the support given to this suicidal madness by men in whom one had reposed a certain amount of faith—Julian Amery, for example. Such men, to salve their political consciences, try to effect impossible syntheses, as witness Amery's pathetic attempt to persuade the House of Commons that a pan-European policy is compatible with a pro-Commonwealth policy. Here is one extract from his speech:

> "The conversations that took place in Paris at the time of the signing of these Agreements were paralleled by Franco-German negotiations which considered the possibility of increased German investment in the French Union. I wonder whether these ideas, which are really an extension or application of what was called the Strasbourg economic plan, might not be extended to all the countries of the Western Union and sterling area . . . I wonder whether it would not be possible to harness some of the heavy industry of the Rhine Valley complex to the development of Commonwealth resources. Per-

haps membership of a modified coal and steel pool might be a first step in this direction."

Not only must the foreigners have the run of Britain, it would seem, but their industrialists must be given the usufruct of the Commonwealth, even though thereby it be internationalised out of existence. After listening to the Reith Lectures of Sir Oliver Franks, which were supposed to embody a championship of the Commonwealth concept, one is no longer surprised that the public mind should be bedevilled by "Commonwealth" double-speak and all the other confusions of the age, but one did hope that a mind of Julian Amery's promise might have stayed high and dry above the raging torrents which hurtle the nation towards its doom. Where are we to look for salvation? What has Harry Legge-Bourke to say? Why is not Captain H—— K——, who finds so distressing my mention of his name in *Candour*, not thundering his denunciation of our national betrayal?

## B.B.C. POINTS THE WAY

There is, of course, no surer guide to the rapidly developing plot to destroy our nation's sovereignty and independence than the wretched B.B.C., which for many years has celebrated Christmas with a "Commonwealth" broadcast which—the Sovereign's speech excepted—could only be described as the perfection of fatuity. But hitherto, at least, it did advertise the programme as a Commonwealth programme, whereas this year it bore the idiotic title of "Good Neighbours" and was most markedly designed to play down the Commonwealth *motif* to a level upon which it could scarcely be said to exist. The first item came from that part of the British Empire called Luxemburg. Soon afterwards the U.S. Army was presented in conjunction with the Royal Navy. India furnished a word-picture of United Nations workers battling against disease. A Scot in the Far East told of what the United Nations relief organisation was doing in Korea. That grand old British Dominion, Japan, provided a message from Hiroshima. Even Australia had nothing more British to offer than a pack of *Asiatic* students, whose vocal efforts were reinforced by the guttural broken English of a German Jewess. No listener with one wit to rub against the other could fail to perceive that the whole programme was a studied attempt by the B.B.C. to superimpose internationalist concepts upon the Commonwealth concept by exalting the United Nations and cosmopolitanism at the expense of British national and imperial feeling. There was from first to last in the broadcast no vestige of the imperial theme. That Her Majesty the Queen should have been expected to give the royal *cachet* to these almost defiantly subversive proceedings was an unforgivable insult. The B.B.C. has long been the kept creature of international overlords, but its brazen effrontery in daring thus to write off the British Commonwealth and Empire shows how very confident it has become in the nearness of the overwhelming victory of its cause. No doubt those who manipulate its policies had also been emboldened by the enthusiasm which a mindless public had bestowed upon the Eden Plan for giving away to Europe the best part of the British army and R.A.F.

## PUNDIT BERTRAND RUSSELL

Behind the plot to make Britain a province of Spaakistan lies the larger plot to make Spaakistan thus enlarged a province of Baruchistan: Federal Europe, that is to say, is only a step towards World Government. If my word be not good enough to support this statement, let any sceptical reader refer back to the words, quoted earlier in this article, of the greatest Englishman who ever lived. The B.B.C. never forgets this master-plan, the exposition of which it entrusts, by force of habit, to Bertrand Russell, the reformed conscientious objector who became so excessively militant not long ago that he advocated the imposition of "one world" upon the Soviet Union — if necessary, by means of the atom-bomb. This "great humanist" again took his place before the microphone a day or two before Christmas, this time to stampede us all into "one world" through terror of the hydrogen-bomb. Whether or not Russell understands the supreme importance of morale in any situation of difficulty or danger I do not know, but at least he seems to admit that one side alone should not be morally and spiritually disarmed through H bomb fright. He told listeners:

> As a human being, I have to remember that, if the issues between East and West are to be decided in any manner that can give any possible satisfaction to anybody, whether Communist or anti-Communist, whether Asian or European or American, whether White or Black, then these issues must not be decided by war. I should wish this to be understood on both sides of the Iron Curtain. It is emphatically not enough to have it understood on one side only. I think the neutrals, since they are not caught in our tragic dilemma, can, if they will, bring about this realisation on both sides. I should like to see one or more neutral powers appoint a commission of experts, who should all be neutrals, to draw up a report on the destructive effects to be expected in a war with hydrogen bombs, not only amoung the belligerents but also among neutrals.

Gratifying as it is that Bertrand Russell should admit to being a human being, his suggestion is not that of a very bright human being. Indeed, it is quite inane. The pundit was perhaps trying to be "constructive," to cover up the fact that the peril he proclaimed is available as propaganda only to frighten the West and thus inevitably to lower its morale. Were any Soviet pundit to broadcast in the same sense he would speedily disappear from view. But such considerations deter neither the B.B.C. nor Bertrand Russell, whose sole concern is to urge the "necessity" of abandoning national loyalties and—as far as Russell is concerned—to pose before the microphone as a sublime prophet warning mankind (or that fraction of mankind which is allowed to listen) of dangers obvious enough to a child of ten. Were the British stomach not habituated to mush, it would have revolted as the ex-conchie one-worlder, adopting a sacerdotal tone, wound up his broadcast by saying in a voice hushed and fatuous:

> I would have men forget their quarrels for a moment and reflect that, if they will allow themselves to survive, there is every reason to expect the triumphs of the future to exceed immeasurably the triumphs of the past. There lies before us, if we choose, continual progress in happiness, knowledge, and wisdom. Shall we, instead, choose death, because we cannot forget our quarrels? I appeal as a human being to human beings: remember your humanity, and forget the rest. If you can do so, the way lies open to a new Paradise; if you cannot, nothing lies before you but universal death.

When men drive from public life with hoots of derision these pedlars of a "new Paradise" they will show that at last they have regained their lost wits The one-world of Baruchistan will not be a "new Paradise," but a new and very special brand of despotic Hell.

The peril of destruction by H-bombs is not nearly as real as the peril of enslavement by a tyranny conceived by the same order of minds as that responsible for both international finance and international Bolshevism. Everywhere the net is being drawn closer around us. Montgomery and Ismay make themselves the High Priests of Baruch's plan for a full political and economic Nato. Walter Elliot and his World Governmentors crusade to give the United Nations (Baruchistan) power of life and death, of freedom and imprisonment, of prosperity and starvation over all mankind. Churchill and Eden, while supporting both drives, rejoice to find the British people now sufficiently supine to permit of their being herded into Baruchistan's projected satellite—the European federal pen. And there is not one member of Parliament who dares stand out against the conspirators: indeed, acquiescence in the conspiracy has become a necessity now for anybody wishing to enter public life in Britain. It is a ghastly situation.

For those of us who defend our national sovereignty—and, with it, the future of Western man—the months ahead will be full of desperate fighting. Our enemies, with amazing skill, have carried every position and are ready for the kill. We have really reached the last ditch. *Candour* begs its readers bravely to stand and resist.

# ABSTAIN!

IN June elections will take place for the European Parliament. It is the duty of all of us who are loyal subjects of Her Majesty the Queen to have nothing to do with these elections, and to ignore them completely. With the ratification of the Maastricht Treaty, we have in fact become a satellite country, and it is therefore our duty to RESIST PEACEFULLY all attempts to implant the provisions of that Treaty.

To participate in these elections in any way, even by spoiling our ballot papers, is to acknowledge acceptance of a Parliament in which we are heavily outnumbered and is an act of sedition. It is to be hoped, therefore, that you will continue to value your British citizenship, that you will ABSTAIN from voting in these elections, and that you will urge your like-minded friends and acquaintances to do likewise.

In addition, we urge all readers to support the NATIONAL ABSTAIN CAMPAIGN initiative launched by our colleagues on the *Liverpool Newsletter*. Adopting Lenin's maxim that nothing is so dangerous to collectivism as individual initiative, *L.N.* is urging all patriotic individuals to take the following simple steps before June 9:

*Issue a press release to all local newspapers and radio stations in your area, under the aegis of the National Abstain Campaign, outlining why voters must abstain in the Euro-elections.*

*Photo-copy and distribute Abstain leaflets in the week of the election in your neighbourhood.*

Master-copies of both the press release and leaflet, together with the campaign briefing issue of *Liverpool Newsletter*, are available directly from its Editor, Mr Anthony Cooney, at Rose Cottage, 17 Hadassah Grove, Liverpool L17 8XH upon receipt of an s.a.e. **Would all *Candour* readers in the UK please support this campaign as we are disturbed to read of the luke-warm response he has received so far from all the so-called anti-EU groups.**

---

## How's this for the loony Tory Government?

D PEARSON, Chairman of Pleckgate Conservatives, berates loony Labour lefties for pandering to ethnic minorities in banning Christmas. What he doesn't tell us, however, is that last year alone, the Conservatives allowed more than 50,000 Third World "refugees" into Britain, thereby increasing the burden for everyone in housing, unemployment and welfare benefits. While Britain faces its worst economic catastrophe in history, with a record balance of payments deficit, the Home Affairs Select Committee is debating a proposal to give would-be immigrants thousands of pounds of taxpayers' money for "distress or financial losses" caused by delay in handling their cases. Many immigrants have already received payments of up to £23,000 each. Is it, therefore, any surprise, that the welfare state has started to collapse? If this is a sample of D Pearson's Conservative Government, Heaven help us if we ever get a Labour or Liberal one.

SHEILA NOBLE in *Lancashire Evening Telegraph*, December 22.

---

**A THIRD POSITIONIST READER** [see December *Candour*]. Only £3 from Rising Books, BCM-ITP, London WC1N 3XX. Send s.a.e. for new booklist, including works by Chesterton, Belloc, Fahey and Irving.

**PAUSE FOR THOUGHT** - "If golliwogs did not exist, would not racially correct people complain that all our dolls were white?" [Bernard Smith in *A Half-Open Eye*.]

---

**CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES**

**U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S. $30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM**

---

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD
[Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]

# *S.O.S. - SAVE OUR SHORELINE!*

## *Charity Begins At Home Unless You Are British!*

RECENTLY on television we were treated to a sad, moving documentary concerning the steady erosion of many miles of British coastline, mostly facing the North Sea. Dramatic pictures were shown of houses near to crashing into the sea. Great cliffs were crumbling and huge cracks appearing in what was once good farmland. One delightful village - Fairlight in Sussex - awaits its inevitable fate as the land slowly but surely collapses into the hungry sea. The other most threatened areas appear to be Hornsea, Mappleton and Spurn Head in Yorkshire, Hunstanton and Cley in Norfolk, and Easton Bavents in Suffolk. Over in Wales, Towyn, and further north the Ribble Estuary and Morecambe Bay are in danger. Some people have already lost their homes. One Suffolk couple, Gloria and Don Lidell, fled for their lives in 1991 as their gardens crashed into the sea. They have already been told by our "caring" Government that they can expect no compensation. Nor, too, can the farmers losing their lands to the sea.

### *Plenty Of Money For Europe Or The Third World!*

Now, it has always been held by most thinking people that the first duty of government is the defence of the realm. *Yet the total spent on coastal defence in 1991 was a mere £58 million!* In many areas where the coast is in danger councils quite rightly point out that they simply do not have the financial resources to build efficient sea defences. Money is always short when it comes to protecting our own people. Yet since we were conned into the European Community [now Union], Britain has given a staggering £92 billion to Europe. Each year we dole out about £4 billion in overseas aid. Apparently, the policy the Government is following is one of managed retreat, i.e. to let the sea take over where it will. This, of course, is cheaper than building sea walls, which costs from one to five million pounds per kilometre. Nevertheless, *if we had used to protect our shoreline the £92 billion kindly given to Europe, we could have built sea walls for our 4,000 kilometre coast 46 times over!*

### *Why Can't We Put British Interests First?*

During a visit to the beleaguered Suffolk coast, Environment Minister Mr John Gummer referred to "the battle against that cunning old enemy, the sea". Alas, it appears the battle is already over and retreat is the Government's policy. This defeatist attitude is unbelievable if one visits Holland, where nearly a quarter of

that little land has been reclaimed from the sea - and without the advantage of having cliffs already there on which to build sea defences. If the Dutch Government can do it, why can't ours? Why, for once, can't we put our own interests first? Why can't we halt all overseas aid, except for famine relief, and use the money to build up our own sea defences and save valuable land? The £60 million given to Iraq for medical and agricultural development would save the Holderness Stretch of coast in Yorkshire, where a 40 kilometre line of cliffs is in danger.

*Think of all the employment that a well-planned nation-wide scheme of coastline conservation would create, as well as the business that could be generated for our ailing British industry. Alas, our party politicians prefer to strut across the world stage ostentatiously displaying their care and concern whenever disaster strikes in the Third World. Yet they care not a jot for that very potent symbol of nationhood - our own native land.*

***Brussels Bureaucrats Couldn't Care Less!***

It appears that the Holderness coast is the North Sea's largest source of sediment, and this sediment spreads far and wide, even to the coasts of Denmark, Holland and Germany. One authority was quoted as saying that the inhabitants of Holderness faced losing their homes and land to benefit the shorelines of other countries. It was suggested that they look to the European Commission for compensation or help. Some hope! The Brussels bureaucrats are hardly likely to do anything to impede the erosion of Holderness if it serves to develop some of the most valuable coastlines of mainland Europe. *It is quite clear that not only do we have to give the European Union our money, but we have to give them our land as well!*

***SAVE OUR COASTLINE BY FIGHTING TO SAVE OUR SOVEREIGNTY!***


# *READ* CANDOUR

***THE JOURNAL THAT DEFENDS NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY AGAINST THE MENACE OF INTERNATIONALISM***

*Annual subscription: £15*

*For a free introduction copy, send a stamped addressed envelope to*
*Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD*


*Printed & published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.*